

ISOTOPE DERIVATIVE METHOD  
FOR  
QUANTITATIVE DETERMINATION  
OF  
HISTAMINE

THESIS  
E55

sis



**ISOTOPE DERIVATIVE METHOD  
FOR  
QUANTITATIVE DETERMINATION OF HISTAMINE**

**Abstract of**

**A Thesis**

**Presented in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements  
for the Degree Master of Science**

**by**

**Richard Raphael Entwistle, Ch. E.**

**The Ohio State University**

**1952**

**Approved by:**

.....  
**Adviser**

the  
ESS

THE

THE

THE

THE

THE

THE

THE

THE

THE

THE

THE

THE

THE

THE

THE

THE

THE

THE

THE

THE

THE

THE

THE

THE

THE

THE

THE

THE

THE

THE

ISOTOPE DERIVATIVE METHOD  
FOR  
QUANTITATIVE DETERMINATION OF HISTAMINE

RICHARD RAPHAEL ENTWHISTLE

CH. E. , UNIVERSITY OF CINCINNATI, 1935

Department of Physics  
(Approved by William G. Myers)

A review of various methods for separating histamine from interfering substances and the quantitative determination of histamine by chemical methods is presented. The isotope derivative method of analysis is then described and its adoption as a method for the quantitative determination of histamine is discussed. Detailed methods, together with flow diagrams, are presented for the preparation of pipsyl-chloride, radioactive pipsyl-chloride, and the pipsyl-histamine derivative. By the method described herein, pipsyl-chloride tagged with S-thirty-five was prepared at the five thousandths mole level from radioactive sulfanilic acid. The pipsyl-chloride contained forty-four percent of the activity of the sulfanilic acid. Two equivalents of pipsyl-chloride appeared to combine with one equivalent of histamine to form a derivative which was difficult to dissolve. Of the many solvents tested, only chloroform, toluene and acetone dissolved the pipsyl-histamine derivative. The solubility was approximately one part of the pipsyl-histamine derivative to one-thousand parts of solvent.



The isotope derivative method using the carrier technic and chloroform as the solvent was applied to a sample containing seventy-five hundredths of a microgram of histamine. The histamine values as determined by this method were high by a factor of two-hundred to four-hundred percent, indicating the presence of radioactive contaminants. Activity measurements showed that self-absorption of the weak beta-particle emitted by S-thirty-five renders the use of the radioactive sulfur isotope undesirable as a tag in this method of analysis unless a correction factor, a function of the surface density, is applied. Histamine was separated from histidine and arginine by paper chromatography using isopentanol saturated with two normal ammonium hydroxide as a developer; the  $R_f$  factors for histamine, arginine and histidine were forty-one hundredths, zero and zero, respectively.

respectively. Arginine and histidine were forty-one hundredths, zero and zero, ammonium hydroxide as a developer; the  $R_f$  factors for histidines, paper chromatography using isopentanol saturated with two normal is applied. Histamine was separated from histidine and arginine by analysis unless a correction factor, a function of the surface density, radioactive sulfur isotope undetectable as a tag in this method of the weak beta-particle emitted by S-thirty-five renders the use of the taminate. Activity measurements showed that self-absorption of four-hundred percent, indicating the presence of radioactive non-formation of this method were high by a factor of two-hundred to



**ISOTOPE DERIVATIVE METHOD  
FOR  
QUANTITATIVE DETERMINATION OF HISTAMINE**

**A Thesis**

**Presented in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements  
for the Degree Master of Science**

**by**

**Richard Raphael Entwhistle, Ch. E.**

**The Ohio State University**

**1952**

**Approved by:**

.....  
**Adviser**

ISOTOPE DERIVATIVE METHOD  
FOR  
QUANTITATIVE DETERMINATION OF HISTAMINE

A Thesis

Presented in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

for the Degree Master of Science

by

Richard Raphael Entwistle, Ch. E.

The Ohio State University

1953

Approved by:

.....  
Advisor

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>I. Introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>II. Isotope Derivative Method .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>III. Choice of Isotopically Tagged Reagent.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>IV. Preparation of Pipsyl-chloride .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>V. Preparation of Pipsyl-histamine Derivative ....</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>VI. Separation of Histamine from Basic Amino Acids by Paper Chromatography .....</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>VII. Experimental Procedure .....</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>VIII. Data .....</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>IX. Discussion of Data .....</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>X. Conclusions .....</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>XI. Bibliography .....</b>	<b>35</b>

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction .....	1
II.	Isotope Derivative Method .....	3
III.	Choice of Isotopically Tagged Reagent .....	8
IV.	Preparation of Piperyl-chloride .....	6
V.	Preparation of Piperyl-histamine Derivatives .....	10
VI.	Separation of Histamine from Basic Amino Acids by Paper Chromatography .....	21
VII.	Experimental Procedure .....	24
VIII.	Data .....	27
IX.	Discussion of Data .....	31
X.	Conclusions .....	32
XI.	Bibliography .....	33

## I. INTRODUCTION:

Histamine and histamine-like substances have been named as the probable causative agents in the response of the body to anaphylactic shock, serum sickness, allergy, injury to cold (1), exposure to ionizing radiation (2), and other intrinsic effects. Perhaps much of the doubt as to whether histamine is the causative agent results from the fact that there is no quick simple accurate method for detecting histamine in low concentrations. Numerous estimates have been made of the amounts of histamine in animal tissue. Blood, however, has received the most thorough study because of the ease of obtaining samples and the fact that successive samples can be taken in the course of an observation. Since the histamine content of blood is rather low and deviations from the normal are small, an extremely sensitive method of detection must be employed. In order better to understand the order of sensitivity demanded, the concentration of histamine in micrograms per ml. of rabbit blood, which has the highest concentration, and of a normal man are cited as about 1.25 and 0.05, respectively (3). While the main research effort relative to histamine determination has been devoted to blood with the major emphasis on the separation of histamine from interfering substances and improving the sensitivity at the sub-microgram level, the technics developed are not restricted to blood analysis alone.

A search of the literature reveals that purely chemical methods for the detection and quantitative determination of histamine have been

for the detection and quantitative determination of histamine have been  
 A search of the literature reveals that purely chemical methods  
 techniques developed are not restricted to blood analysis alone.  
 major emphasis on the separation of histamine from interfering sub-  
 relative to histamine determination has been devoted to blood with the  
 about 1.25 and 0.05, respectively (3). While the main research effort  
 which has the highest concentration, and of a normal man are cited as  
 the concentration of histamine in micrograms per ml. of rabbit blood,  
 played. In order better to understand the order of sensitivity demanded,  
 mal are small, an extremely sensitive method of detection must be em-  
 the histamine content of blood is rather low and deviations from the nor-  
 successive samples can be taken in the course of an observation. Since  
 thorough study because of the ease of obtaining samples and the fact that  
 histamine in animal tissue. Blood, however, has received the most  
 concentrations. Numerous estimates have been made of the amounts of  
 there is no quick simple accurate method for detecting histamine in low  
 to whether histamine in the causative agent results from the fact that  
 radiation (2), and other intrinsic effects. Perhaps much of the doubt as  
 shock, asthma, urticaria, allergy, injury to cold (1), exposure to ionizing  
 capable of causing a change in the response of the body to anaphylactic  
 in the response of the body to anaphylactic

devised. Each of these methods employed a preliminary purification or separation of the histamine followed by a spectrophotometric determination. The following methods of preliminary purification have been employed:

a. Precipitation and extraction procedures (4, 5, 6).

b. Adsorption on synthetic resins, cotton acid succinate (6, 7, 8), amberlite (9), and by paper chromatography (10).

In the final determination of histamine, color reactions involving diazotization (Pauly reaction) with p-Diazobenzene sulfonate (4), p-Bromoaniline (5, 10), or 4-Nitroaniline (6, 9) have been employed, or optical densities have been measured after the reaction of histamine with 2, 4-Dinitrofluorobenzene (11). The earlier procedures lacked sufficient sensitivity for the detection of histamine concentrations normally found in small volumes of blood.

The most widely used and accepted procedures involve some initial purification procedure of the histamine followed by its biological assay. The bioassay method has, in general, been more sensitive than colorimetry in the quantitative estimation of histamine but it has also been found to be less accurate (12). The initial chemical procedures have been directed toward liberating histamine from substances and structures with which it is bound, separating it from other similar substances which would interfere with its accurate biological determination or accurate chemical determination while simultaneously maintaining a sufficiently

of these methods employed a preliminary purification or separation of the histamine followed by a spectrophotometric determination. The following methods of preliminary purification have been employed:

- a. Precipitation and extraction procedures (4, 8, 9).
- b. Adsorption on synthetic resins, cotton acid succinate (6, 7, 8), amberlite (2), and by paper chromatography (10).

In the final determination of histamine, color reactions involving diazotization (Faulk reaction) with p-Diarsene sulfonate (4), p-Bromosaline (8, 10), or 4-Nitroaniline (6, 9) have been employed, or optical densities have been measured after the reaction of histamine with 2, 4-Dinitrofluorobenzene (11). The earlier procedures lacked sufficient sensitivity for the detection of histamine concentrations normally found in small volumes of blood.

The most widely used and accepted procedures involve some initial purification procedure of the histamine followed by its biological assay. The bioassay method has, in general, been more sensitive than colorimetry in the quantitative estimation of histamine but it has also been found to be less accurate (12). The initial chemical procedures have been directed toward liberating histamine from substances and structures with which it is bound, separating it from other similar substances which would interfere with its accurate biological determination or accurate chemical determination while simultaneously maintaining a sufficiently



constant and high yield of the histamine originally present to allow a satisfactory quantitative estimation. The final biological assay employing guinea pig gut, uterus or bronchi must be sufficiently sensitive to detect the minute quantities of histamine normally present (13).

## II. ISOTOPIC DERIVATIVE METHOD:

1. General: It is apparent that the presently accepted and employed methods all depend on an initial chemical separation of histamine from interfering substances before the final quantitative determination is made. This procedure has its analogue in gravimetric analysis whereby a desired compound is quantitatively precipitated from solution. In employing the latter procedure it is realized that a small amount of the compound sought, limited by the solubility product, is lost to the solution. When analyzing for macro-amounts of material, the amount lost to the solution usually contributes a negligible error in the final result. However, when dealing with micro-quantities, i. e., at the microgram and sub-microgram level, the amount of material not recovered by the extraction may be large enough to render worthless the final result of the analysis. Since the isotope derivative method, using the carrier technic, would eliminate this source of error, it was chosen for exploration as a possible method for the quantitative detection of histamine. The isotope derivative method lends itself admirably to the present situation since it has been demonstrated to have an extremely high sensitivity, being operable below the microgram level (14).

...and the use of the ... the ... program to allow a  
... quantitative estimation. The final biological assay employs  
... but, where or should be sufficiently sensitive to  
detect the minute quantities of histamine normally present (12).

## II. ISOTOPIC DERIVATIVE METHOD:

1. General: It is apparent that the presently accepted and employed  
methods all depend on an initial chemical separation of histamine from  
interfering substances before the final quantitative determination is  
made. This procedure has its analogue in gravimetric analysis whereby  
a desired compound is quantitatively precipitated from solution. In em-  
ploying the latter procedure it is realized that a small amount of the  
compound sought, limited by the solubility product, is lost to the solu-  
tion. When analyzing for macro-amounts of material, the amount lost  
to the solution usually contributes a negligible error in the final result.  
However, when dealing with micro-quantities, i.e., at the microgram  
and sub-microgram level, the amount of material not recovered by the  
extraction may be large enough to render worthless the final result of  
the analysis. Since the isotope derivative method, using the carrier  
technic, would eliminate this source of error, it was chosen for explo-  
ration as a possible method for the quantitative detection of histamine.  
The isotope derivative method lends itself admirably to the present situa-  
tion since it has been demonstrated to have an extremely high sensitivity,  
being operable below the microgram level (14).

2. Outline of Method: In brief, this method consists of the following steps (14):

a. Treating the unknown mixture with a reagent containing a radioactive isotope under such conditions that the component to be estimated is quantitatively converted to the derivative of radioactive reagent.

b. Adding a large excess, accurately weighed, of the unlabelled derivative to the unknown mixture.

c. Separating and purifying the desired derivative to a constant molal isotope concentration. (Note: This doesn't imply that the derivative must be recovered quantitatively. However, that fraction which is recovered must be isotopically pure, i.e., counts per mole per second for successive fractions must be constant).

d. Preparing an isotopic derivative, using the same isotopically labelled reagent employed in step "a", of a known amount of the component sought and purifying to constant molal isotopic concentration.

e. Determining the quantity of the desired component present in the unknown mixture by comparison of the constant specific molal activities according to the following formula:

$$w = \frac{U(w + W)}{K}$$

where,

w = amount of isotopic derivative which was present in the unknown mixture.

W = amount of unlabelled derivative (carrier) added in excess.

2. Outline of method. In brief, this method consists of the following steps:

(a) Preparation of reagents

a. Treating the unknown mixture with a reagent containing a

radioactive isotope under such conditions that the component to be estimated is quantitatively converted to the derivative of radioactive reagent.  
b. Adding a large excess, accurately weighed, of the unlabelled

derivative to the unknown mixture.  
c. Separating and purifying the desired derivative to a constant

metal isotope concentration. (Note: This doesn't imply that the derivative must be recovered quantitatively. However, that fraction which is recovered must be isotopically pure, i.e., counts per mole per second for successive fractions must be constant).

d. Preparing an isotopic derivative, using the same isotopically labelled reagent employed in step "a", of a known amount of the component sought and purifying to constant metal isotope concentration.

e. Determining the quantity of the desired component present in the unknown mixture by comparison of the constant specific metal activities according to the following formula:

$$w = \frac{U(w + W)}{R}$$

where,  $w$  = amount of isotopic derivative which was present in the unknown mixture.

$W$  = amount of unlabelled derivative (carrier) added in excess.

U = constant molal isotope concentration resulting from unknown mixture.

K = constant molal isotope concentration resulting from reaction involving the known amount of the component sought.

When relatively large amounts of carrier are added, the formula reduces to the form:

$$w = \frac{WU}{K}$$

Brief and simple though the procedure may be, it is emphasized that in order for the final result to be meaningful (14):

- a. The reaction between the isotopic reagent and the compound sought must be complete.
- b. The carrier which is isolated from the reaction mixture must be rigorously purified from radioactive contaminants.
- c. The measurement of the quantities U and K must be precise.

### III. CHOICE OF ISOTOPICALLY TAGGED REAGENT:

Acid chlorides were decided upon as the reagents which would be used to react with histamine. It was assumed a priori that histamine would react with acid chlorides in the same manner as the amino acids (14) since it contained a primary and secondary reactive amino-group.

2, 6-diiodosulfanilic acid, provided it could be converted to 2, 6-diiodobenzene sulfonyl chloride, was suggested since it could be prepared at the 0.001 mole level in high yield (85%), an important factor in preparing radioactive compounds. The diiodobenzene sulfonyl chloride

U = constant initial isotope concentration resulting from

U = constant initial isotope concentration resulting from  
reaction involving the known amount of the component

isotope

When relatively large amounts of carrier are added, the formula re-

duces to the form:

$$W = \frac{WU}{K}$$

Brief and simple though the procedure may be, it is emphasized

that in order for the final result to be meaningful (14):

a. The reaction between the isotopic reagent and the compound

ought not be complete.

b. The carrier which is isolated from the reaction mixture

must be rigorously purified from radioactive contaminants.

c. The measurement of the quantities U and K must be precise.

### III. CHOICE OF ISOTOPICALLY TAGGED REAGENT:

Acid chlorides were decided upon as the reagents which would be

used to react with histamine. It was assumed a priori that histamine

would react with acid chlorides in the same manner as the amino acids

(14) since it contained a primary and secondary reactive amino-group.

3, 5-dihydroxyanthranilic acid, provided it could be converted to 3, 5-

dihydroxyanthranilic acid, was suggested since it could be pre-

pared at the 0.001 mole level in high yield (85%), an important factor in

preparing radioactive compounds. The dihydroxyanthranilic acid chloride

tagged with I-131 would prove an excellent reagent because it could be prepared with an extremely high specific activity due to the two (2) iodine atoms, representing 59% by weight, which are an integral part of the molecule. The high specific activity would permit a greater sensitivity in detecting components with which it reacts. Efforts to replace the amino-group of the diiodosulfanilic acid by hydrogen, prior to conversion to an acid chloride, resulted in failure.

The methods employed to replace the amino-group with hydrogen was first to diazotize the diiodosulfanilic acid and then treat with excess hypophosphorous acid (15) or 95% ethanol (16). The resulting products showed evidence of iodine decomposition upon recrystallization from aqueous or alcohol solution at temperatures as low as 50° C. The recovered product had a melting point range 121 - 180° C, indicating a mixture of components.

It was finally decided to use pipsyl-chloride (p-iodobenzene sulfonyl chloride) as the reagent to react with histamine since the literature indicated that this compound could be prepared and isolated in pure form.

#### IV. PREPARATION OF PIPSYL-CHLORIDE (P-IODOBENZENE SULFONYL CHLORIDE):

1. Non-radioactive: Reactions of the type required to prepare compounds similar to pipsyl-chloride were found in the literature (17,18). However, since there were no specific procedures for the preparation of pipsyl-chloride, the steps are outlined herein: (Reference is flow sheet No. 1 for diagram of process.)



with the acid to prove an essential reagent because it could be

obtained with an excess of the specific activity due to the two (2)

iodine atoms, representing 50% by weight, which are an integral part of

the molecule. The high specific activity would permit a greater sensi-

tivity in detecting components with which it reacts. Efforts to replace

the amino-group of the diiodosulfamic acid by hydrogen, prior to con-

version to an acid chloride, resulted in failure.

The methods employed to replace the amino-group with hydrogen

was first to dissolve the diiodosulfamic acid and then treat with excess

hypophosphorous acid (15) or 88% ethanol (16). The resulting products

showed evidence of iodine decomposition upon recrystallization from

aqueous or alcohol solution at temperatures as low as 50° C. The re-

covered product had a melting point range 131 - 180° C, indicating a

mixture of components.

It was finally decided to use pipsyl-chloride (p-toluenesulfonic sul-

fonyl chloride) as the reagent to react with histamine since the literature

indicated that this compound could be prepared and isolated in pure form.

#### IV. PREPARATION OF PIPSYL-CHLORIDE (P-TOLUENESULFONYL CHLORIDE):

##### 1. Non-radioactive: Reactions of the type required to prepare com-

pounds similar to pipsyl-chloride were found in the literature (17, 18).


However, since there were no specific procedures for the preparation of

pipsyl-chloride, the steps are outlined herein: (Reference is now made

No. 1 for diagram of process.)




a. Dissolve sulfanilic acid in 8% NaOH, add  $\text{NaNO}_2$  and run slowly with stirring into a mixture of 20%  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  and ice.




b. Permit diazotized compound to stand 1 hour in order to settle out.

c. Decant off supernatant liquid in order to eliminate excess  $\text{NaNO}_2$  used in diazotization. (Note 1)

d. Add a concentrated solution of KI to diazotized salt and permit Sandmeyer reaction to take place at room temperature. Complete reaction by placing in a boiling water bath.



e. Permit reaction mixture to cool, make alkaline with NaOH, and salt out of sodium salt of p-iodobenzene sulfonate with NaCl.



f. Filter through Buchner funnel and dry at  $140^\circ\text{C}$  for 3 hours.

g. Mix finely powdered sodium p-iodobenzene sulfonate with  $\text{PCl}_5$  and  $\text{POCl}_3$  in ratio of 1 mole of salt to 0.8 moles of  $\text{POCl}_3$  and 0.3 moles of  $\text{PCl}_5$ . Reflux mixture at  $170 - 180^\circ\text{C}$  for 16 hours. Cool reaction mixture for 5 minutes at the end of each 4 hour period and shake until mixture becomes pasty. The shaking brings the unreacted components together and thereby increases the yield. (Note 2)

... and the

... and the



b. Permit dissolved compound to stand 1 hour in order to

settle out.

c. Decant off supernatant liquid in order to eliminate excess

$NaNO_2$  used in dissolution. (Note 1)

d. Add a concentrated solution of KI to dissolved salt and permit

Sandmeyer reaction to take place at room temperature. Complete reac-

tion by placing in a boiling water bath.



e. Permit reaction mixture to cool, make alkaline with NaOH,

and add out of sodium salt of p-iodobenzenesulfonate with NaCl.



f. Filter through Buchner funnel and dry at  $140^\circ C$  for 3 hours.

g. Mix finely powdered sodium p-iodobenzenesulfonate with


$PCl_5$  and  $POCl_3$  in ratio of 1 mole of salt to 0.8 moles of  $POCl_3$  and 0.3

moles of  $PCl_5$ . Reflux mixture at  $170 - 180^\circ C$  for 18 hours. Cool re-

action mixture for 5 minutes at the end of each 4 hour period and shake

until mixture becomes pasty. The shaking brings the unreacted com-

ponents together and thereby increases the yield. (Note 2)



h. Cool reaction mixture and extract with benzene, grinding the solid material with the benzene to facilitate the extraction.

i. Wash the benzene fraction 3 times with ice water to remove dissolved unreacted phosphorous halides and inorganic salts.

j. Dry benzene fraction over anhydrous  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ .

k. Evaporate to dryness on a water bath and dissolve in a slight excess of ethyl ether.

l. Add activated carbon and warm for a few minutes to remove color.


m. Filter through Buchner funnel to remove carbon.

n. Reduce volume of ether to about one-half by evaporation and place in ice bath. Crystals of pipsyl-chloride now appear.

o. Filter off pipsyl-chloride and wash crystals with petroleum ether. Pipsyl-chloride is insoluble in petroleum ether.

p. Add petroleum ether wash to mother liquor and again reduce volume by about one-half. Cool and pipsyl-chloride crystals separate out. Wash crystals with petroleum ether. This procedure was repeated until a pink oily liquid instead of pipsyl-chloride crystals separated out on cooling. The pink oily liquid was discarded.

Note 1: This reduces the final yield of p-iodobenzene sulfonic acid



h. Cool reaction mixture and extract with benzene, grinding the

solid material with the benzene to facilitate the extraction.

i. Wash the benzene fraction 3 times with ice water to remove

dissolved unreacted phosphorus halides and inorganic salts.

j. Dry benzene fraction over anhydrous  $Na_2SO_4$ .

k. Evaporate to dryness on a water bath and dissolve in a slight

excess of ethyl ether.

l. Add activated carbon and warm for a few minutes to remove

color.

m. Filter through Buchner funnel to remove carbon.

n. Reduce volume of ether to about one-half by evaporation and

place in ice bath. Crystals of piperyl-chloride now appear.

o. Filter off piperyl-chloride and wash crystals with petroleum

ether. Piperyl-chloride is insoluble in petroleum ether.

p. Add petroleum ether wash to mother liquor and again reduce

volume by about one-half. Cool and piperyl-chloride crystals separate

out. Wash crystals with petroleum ether. This procedure was repeated

until a pink oily liquid instead of piperyl-chloride crystals separated out

on cooling. The pink oily liquid was discarded.

Note 1: This reduces the final yield of p-tolobenzenesulfonic acid

but it eliminated the necessity of adding urea to remove excess  $\text{NaNO}_2$  before addition of KI.

Note 2: This ratio of phosphorous halides to p-iodobenzene sulfonic acid gives the best yield of the sulfonyl chloride.

Each batch of crystals was kept separate and their melting point determined. The melting point for each batch ranged between  $84^\circ\text{--}85^\circ\text{C}$  (literature  $86\text{--}87^\circ\text{C}$ ) (19). To identify the crystals further as pipsyl-chloride, they were reacted with glycine and alanine. Melting points of the glycine derivative and alanine derivative were found to be  $204\text{--}205^\circ\text{C}$  and  $194\text{--}195^\circ\text{C}$ , respectively. The literature reports the melting point of glycine and alanine derivatives of pipsyl-chloride to be  $205^\circ\text{C}$  and  $194.5^\circ\text{C}$ , respectively (14). Thus it can be concluded that the product was pipsyl-chloride. The yield of pipsyl-chloride based upon the original amount of sulfanilic acid used was 59%.

## 2. Radioactive:

a. Preparation: Sulfanilic acid tagged with S-35 was used as the starting product because this reagent was made available in the laboratory. The procedure for converting sulfanilic acid to p-iodobenzene sulfonyl-chloride at the 0.0005 mole level is given in detail below. A "cold" run was conducted in parallel with the "hot" run to act as a control at each stage of the process. The sulfanilic acid (0.09 grams) tagged with 7.75 millicuries of S-35 was received as the sodium salt dissolved in 25 ml. of water. \*(Reference is flow sheet No. 2 for diagram of process.)

\* Radioactive sulfanilic acid was prepared by David Imhof, a student in the Arts and Science College, Ohio State University.

SECRET - NO FORN DISSEM - UNCLASSIFIED

3-10-1964

7/20/77 - ratio of phosphorus to nitrogen is higher in the water column than in the sediments

...chloride. The yield of the anhydrous chloride

Each batch of crystals was kept separate and their melting point

The melting point for each batch ranged between 84°-85° C.

(Literature 88-870) (12). To identify the crystals further as poly-

chloride, they were reacted with glycine and alanine. Melting points of

the alkyne derivative and alkyne derivative were found to be 504 - 505 °C

and 184-185°C, respectively. The literature reports the melting point

of lysine and alanine derivatives of piperyl-chloride to be 105°C and

14.5°C, respectively (14). Thus it can be concluded that the product

was ethyl-chloride. The yield of ethyl-chloride based upon the original

...and been his colleague to ...

Radioactive: 3

Preparation: Sulfanilic acid tagged with S-32 was used in the

starting product because this reagent was made available in the labors-

7. The procedure for converting sulfamic acid to p-toluenesulfonic sodium-

chloride at the 0.0002 mole level is given in detail below. A "cold run" was listed in Table 1 at level above 2000.0 and is also


was conducted in parallel with the "hot" run to act as a control at each

stage of the process. The sulfamic acid (0.08 grams) tagged with T-15

10-10-55 at New York. The number 10-10-55 was received as the sodium salt dissolved in 25 ml. of

water,\*(Reference is flow sheet No. 2 for diagram of process.)

\* Radioactive sulfonic acid was prepared by David Inghel, a student in the Arts and Science College, Ohio State University.





relation

that

850 C

1-

to at

850 C

point

and

original

in the

850-

850-850

and

and

850

in of

in in



- (1) Place sodium sulfanilate solution in 40 ml. centrifuge tube
- (2) Evaporate volume to 3 ml., frequently washing down the sides of the tube with hot distilled water.
- (3) Add 0.0375 g.  $\text{NaNO}_2$  (very slight excess over stoichiometric amount) dissolved in 0.25 ml. distilled water.
- (4) Add the mixture of the sodium sulfanilate and  $\text{NaNO}_2$  dropwise to a 40 ml. centrifuge tube containing 0.5 ml. 20%  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  maintained at 0-5° C. The sulfuric acid was stirred vigorously during the addition of the mixture and stirring was continued for 30 minutes to insure completeness of reaction. The tube containing the sodium sulfanilate was rinsed carefully, using a total of 3 ml. of distilled water.
- (5) Permit diazotized salt to stand for 1 hour at 10° C.
- (6) Add 0.0935 g. KI (excess over stoichiometric requirement) and permit to react 1 hour at room temperature. Complete reaction by placing in boiling water bath for 15 minutes.
- (7) Evaporate reaction mixture to 2 ml. and add C.P. NaCl to salt out p-iodobenzene sulfonic acid.
- (8) Centrifuge mixture for 5 minutes to throw down p-iodobenzene sulfonic acid, pipet off mother liquor and wash

- (1) Place sodium sulfonate solution in 40 ml. centrifuge tube.
- (2) Evaporate volume to 5 ml., frequently washing down the sides of the tube with hot distilled water.
- (3) Add 0.0275 g.  $\text{NaNO}_2$  (very slight excess over stoichiometric amount) dissolved in 0.25 ml. distilled water.
- (4) Add the mixture of the sodium sulfonate and  $\text{NaNO}_2$  dropwise to a 40 ml. centrifuge tube containing 0.5 ml. 30%  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ , maintained at 0-5° C. The sulfuric acid was stirred vigorously during the addition of the mixture and stirring was continued for 30 minutes to insure completeness of reaction. The tube containing the sodium sulfonate was rinsed carefully, using a total of 5 ml. of distilled water.
- (5) Permit diazotized salt to stand for 1 hour at 10° C.
- (6) Add 0.0025 g. KI (excess over stoichiometric requirement) and permit to react 1 hour at room temperature. Complete reaction by placing in boiling water bath for 15 minutes.
- (7) Evaporate reaction mixture to 5 ml. and add 0.5% NaCl to salt out p-tolobenzene sulfonic acid.
- (8) Centrifuge mixture for 5 minutes to throw down p-tolobenzene sulfonic acid, pipet off mother liquor and wash

precipitate with 1 ml. cold saturated brine.

- (9) Centrifuge tube containing precipitate for 5 minutes and pipet off supernatant liquid. Add supernatant to mother liquor and set aside p-Iodobenzene for drying.
- (10) Warm mixture of supernatant from previous step and mother liquor, and add 0.04 g. p-Iodobenzene sulfonic acid (non-radioactive) as a saturated solution in warm water. Mix and cool to 5° C. p-Iodobenzene sulfonic acid precipitates out.
- (11) Centrifuge mixture for 5 minutes; pipet off supernatant; wash precipitate with 1 ml. cold saturated brine; again centrifuge; pipet off supernatant and combine it with mother liquor; and set aside p-Iodobenzene sulfonic acid for drying.
- (12) Repeat steps 10 and 11 once more. The final wash water was still slightly radioactive.
- (13) Dry the 3 precipitates at 120° C. for 3 hours.
- (14) Add 1 ml.  $\text{POCl}_3$  saturated with  $\text{PCl}_5$  to each of the 3 tubes and pool contents of the 3 tubes into the one containing the first precipitated p-Iodobenzene sulfonic acid. Carefully wash the tubes with a total of 1 ml. of the phosphorous halide mixture to insure complete transfer.

precipitate with 1 ml. cold saturated brine.

(10) Centrifuge tube containing precipitate for 5 minutes and pipet off supernatant liquid. Add supernatant to another

liquid and set aside p-iodobenzene for drying.

(11) Warm mixture of supernatant from previous step and mother liquor, and add 0.04 g. p-iodobenzene sulfonic acid (non-radioactive) as a saturated solution in warm water. Mix and cool to 5° C. p-iodobenzene sulfonic acid precipitates out.

(12) Centrifuge mixture for 5 minutes; pipet off supernatant;

wash precipitate with 1 ml. cold saturated brine; again

centrifuge; pipet off supernatant and combine it with

mother liquor; set aside p-iodobenzene sulfonic acid

for drying.

(13) Repeat steps 10 and 11 once more. The final wash water

was still slightly radioactive.

(14) Dry the 3 precipitates at 120° C. for 2 hours.

(15) Add 1 ml.  $\text{POCl}_3$  saturated with  $\text{PCl}_5$  to each of the 3

tubes and pour contents of the 3 tubes into the one contain-

ing the first precipitated p-iodobenzene sulfonic acid.

Gently wash the tubes with a total of 1 ml. of the

phosphorus halide mixture to insure complete transfer.

- (15) Heat mixture in an oil bath at 100 - 110° C. for 5 hours.
- (16) Cool reaction mixture and transfer it to a separatory funnel containing 50 ml. benzene and 25 ml. ice water. Use a small volume of benzene to make the transfer.
- (17) Add 0.2 g. pure non-isotopic pipsyl-chloride to the mixture of benzene and water.
- (18) Wash the benzene layer 3 times, using 15 - 20 ml. ice water for each wash. The final wash water was only slightly radioactive.
- (19) Add approximately 20 g. anhydrous  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  to benzene fraction and allow to stand 12 hours to remove water.
- (20) Filter off benzene fraction and wash  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  10 times, using a total of 100 ml. benzene.  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  still remained quite radioactive.
- (21) Evaporate benzene fraction to one-half original volume.
- (22) Again wash  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  5 times, using a total of 50 ml. hot benzene, and add to benzene fraction in step 21.  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  showed a marked decrease in radioactivity.
- (23) Return benzene fraction to water bath at 60 - 65° C. and evaporate to dryness.
- (24) Wash down sides of beaker with 10 ml. ethyl ether; add approximately 0.3 g. activated carbon; filter; wash carbon with 5 ml. ethyl ether; and evaporate to dryness.
- Colorless crystals now appear.

(15) Heat mixture in an oil bath at 100 - 110° C. for 5 hours.

(16) Cool reaction mixture and transfer it to a separatory fun-

nel containing 50 ml. benzene and 25 ml. ice water. Use

a small volume of benzene to make the transfer.

(17) Add 0.2 g. pure non-isotopic triethyl-chloride to the mix-

ture of benzene and water.

(18) Wash the benzene layer 3 times, using 15 - 20 ml. ice

water for each wash. The final wash water was only

slightly radioactive.

(19) Add approximately 20 g. anhydrous  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  to benzene

fraction and allow to stand 12 hours to remove water.

(20) Filter off benzene fraction and wash  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  10 times,

using a total of 100 ml. benzene. The  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  still remained

quite radioactive.

(21) Evaporate benzene fraction to one-half original volume.

(22) Again wash  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  5 times, using a total of 50 ml. hot

benzene, and add to benzene fraction in step 21.  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$

showed a marked decrease in radioactivity.

(23) Return benzene fraction to water bath at 60 - 65° C. and


evaporate to dryness.

(24) Wash down sides of beaker with 10 ml. ethyl ether; add

approximately 0.2 g. activated carbon; filter; wash car-

bon with 5 ml. ethyl ether; and evaporate to dryness.

Colorless crystals now appear.



honor.

only for

not. Use

is mix-

ice

city

none

not.

more,

several

time.

I. not

is, so

C. and

add

in car-

to.



The carbon residue from the final step of the recovery of the pipsyl-chloride remained extremely radioactive despite repeated washings with benzene and ether (these washings were not added to the final product). This high activity is understandable from the fact that 0.087 g. of product was retained by the carbon. The final yield of pipsyl-chloride was 0.301 g.

b. Assay: The radioactive pipsyl-chloride was assayed by weighing out a small sample, dissolving it in benzene, taking aliquots, evaporating to dryness on an aluminum planchet, and counting by Model SC 1 Autoscaler, Tracerlab, using flow chamber with gas mixture 99% helium and 0.95% isobutane.

Mg. Sample	Net Count	Seconds	Counts per mg.
<u>Used</u>			<u>per sec. x <math>10^4</math></u>
0.019	4067	17.4	1.24
0.0095	4042	31.6	1.34
0.0019	3871	133.5	<u>1.53</u>
			Ave 1.37

The amount of radioactivity incorporated into the recovered pipsyl-chloride, assuming a counting efficiency of 33% due to geometry, is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Amount of radioactivity incorporated into pipsyl-chloride} &= \frac{1.37 \times 10^4 \times 3.01 \times 10^2}{3.3 \times 10^{-1}} \\
 &= 12.5 \times 10^6 \text{ counts per sec.} \\
 \text{number of millicuries represented by sample} &= \frac{12.5 \times 10^6}{3.7 \times 10^9} = 3.4
 \end{aligned}$$

The carbon residue from the final step of the recovery of the

pipyl-chloride remained extremely radioactive despite repeated wash-

ings with benzene and ether (these washings were not added to the final

product). This high activity is understandable from the fact that 0.087 g.

of product was retained by the carbon. The final yield of pipyl-chloride

was 0.201 g.

b. Assay: The radioactive pipyl-chloride was assayed by weigh-

ing out a small sample, dissolving it in benzene, taking aliquots, over-

pouring to dryness on an aluminum planchet, and counting by Model

SC 1 Autoscaler, Tracerlab, using flow chamber with gas mixture 99%

helium and 0.98% isobutane.

Mg. Sample	Net Count	Seconds	Counts per sec.
Used			per sec. $\times 10^3$
0.010	4087	17.4	1.34
0.0085	4043	31.8	1.34
0.0019	3871	133.8	1.33

Ave 1.33

The amount of radioactivity incorporated into the recovered pip-

yl-chloride, assuming a counting efficiency of 33% due to geometry, is:

Amount of radioactivity  
incorporated into  
pipyl-chloride

$$= \frac{1.33 \times 10^3 \times 3.01 \times 10^2}{3.8 \times 10^{-1}}$$

$$= 12.2 \times 10^6 \text{ counts per sec.}$$

number of millieuries  
represented by sample

$$= \frac{12.2 \times 10^6}{3.7 \times 10^6} = 3.4$$

Yield in terms of initial radio- activity incorporated into final product	=	$\frac{3.4 \times 10^2}{7.75}$ 44%
--	---	---------------------------------------

## V. PREPARATION OF PIPSYL DERIVATIVES:

1. Preparation of Pipsyl-Histamine Derivative: The pipsyl-histamine derivative was prepared as follows:

a. Dissolve histamine dihydrochloride in water, and add enough  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  to neutralize the  $\text{HCl}$  associated with the molecule and that which would be formed during the reaction.

b. Place solution in a boiling water bath and add, with stirring, excess pipsyl-chloride.

c. Stir vigorously for 30 minutes while maintaining the temperature near the boiling point.

d. To recover precipitate formed during step above,

(1) Decant off supernatant.

(2) Wash precipitate with ethyl ether to remove unreacted pipsyl-chloride and p-iodophenyl sulfonic acid arising from hydrolysis of excess pipsyl-chloride.

(3) Wash precipitate with water.

(4) Dry precipitate at  $120^\circ\text{C}$  for 2 hours.

Aliquots of the supernatant liquid recovered in step d (1) were acidified with dilute and concentrated  $\text{HCl}$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ , and cooled to  $0^\circ\text{C}$ . Only the slightest turbidity resulted. Extraction with ether, returning to a small volume of  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$ , acidification and cooling still resulted in

PREPARATION OF PIPYDYL DERIVATIVES

1. Preparation of Pipydyl-Histamine Derivatives: The pipydyl-

histamine derivative was prepared as follows:

- a. Dissolve histamine dihydrochloride in water, and add enough  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  to neutralize the  $\text{HCl}$  associated with the molecule and that which would be formed during the reaction.
- b. Place solution in a boiling water bath and add, with stirring, excess pipydyl-chloride.
- c. Stir vigorously for 30 minutes while maintaining the temperature near the boiling point.
- d. To recover precipitate formed during step above:
  - (1) Decant off supernatant.
  - (2) Wash precipitate with ethyl ether to remove unreacted pipydyl-chloride and p-tolophenyl sulfonic acid arising from hydrolysis of excess pipydyl-chloride.
  - (3) Wash precipitate with water.
  - (4) Dry precipitate at  $130^\circ\text{C}$  for 3 hours.

Aliquots of the supernatant liquid recovered in step d (1) were acidified with dilute and concentrated  $\text{HCl}$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ , and cooled to  $0^\circ\text{C}$ . Only the slightest turbidity resulted. Extraction with ether, returning to a small volume of  $\text{CH}_2\text{OH}$ , acidification and cooling still resulted in

only a slight turbidity.

The reaction between histamine and pipsyl-chloride is wholly unlike that of the amino acids and pipsyl-chloride; the amino acid derivatives are soluble in a basic medium whereas the histamine derivative is insoluble in both acid and basic media. A precipitate was obtained regardless of whether histamine or pipsyl-chloride was used in excess. Applying the excess pipsyl-chloride in steps did not alter the reaction.

No attempt was made rigorously to identify the precipitate. However, it is believed to be a ternary salt resulting from the coupling of two equivalents of pipsyl-chloride with one equivalent of histamine. It was initially discovered that aromatic sulfonic acids act as precipitants for basic amino-acids; later it was shown that sulfonic acids as a class will precipitate all amino acids, with the less basic amino acids usually requiring a more complex sulfonic acid (20). It is realized that histamine is not an amino acid but it is relatively basic and is structurally similar to histidine, a basic amino acid. Attempts to prepare a precipitate of histamine resulted in failure using benzene sulfonic acid and 2, 6-diiodobenzene sulfonic acid according to the established method of preparing pipsyl-chloride derivatives and that described by Doherty, et al (21). Another reason for believing that two equivalents of histamine react with one equivalent of pipsyl-chloride are the stoichiometric relationships involved which are summarized below.

The reaction between histamine and pipery-chloride is wholly

unlike that of the amino acids and pipery-chloride; the amino acid de-

rivatives are soluble in a basic medium whereas the histamine derivative

is insoluble in both acid and basic media. A precipitate was obtained

regardless of whether histamine or pipery-chloride was used in ex-

cess. Applying the excess pipery-chloride in steps did not alter the

reaction.

No attempt was made rigorously to identify the precipitate. How-

ever, it is believed to be a ternary salt resulting from the coupling of

two equivalents of pipery-chloride with one equivalent of histamine. It

was initially discovered that aromatic sulfonic acids act as precipitants

for basic amino acids; later it was shown that sulfonic acids as a class

will precipitate all amino acids, with the less basic amino acids usually

requiring a more complex sulfonic acid (20). It is realized that hist-

amine is not an amino acid but it is relatively basic and is structurally

similar to histidine, a basic amino acid. Attempts to prepare a pre-

cipitate of histamine resulted in failure using benzene sulfonic acid

and 2, 6-dichlorobenzene sulfonic acid according to the established met-

hod of preparing pipery-chloride derivatives and that described by


Doherty, et al (21). Another reason for believing that two equivalents

of histamine react with one equivalent of pipery-chloride are the

stoichiometric relationships involved which are summarized below.

	Amount in Excess	Actual wt. * ppt. recovered theoretical wt. ppt. resulting from reaction	Melting Point °C	Test for Histamine in Super- natant**
Excess histamine	50%	0.88	169-170	Pos.
Excess pipsyl-chloride	45%	0.875	169	Neg.

\*Based on reaction:



\*\* A drop of supernatant was placed on No. 2 Whatman filter paper, dried for 1 hour at  $110^\circ\text{C}$ , sprayed with a solution of ninhydrin (0.1% dissolved in n-butanol), and dried at  $80^\circ\text{C}$  for 5 minutes. A purple color resulted.

## 2. Determination of Solvent for Derivative:

a. General: It was necessary to find a suitable solvent for the pipsyl-histamine derivative since the extract of the analysis mixture must be added to the carrier before proceeding with the analysis. The search for a solvent was a difficult task for it figures critically in the separation of the desired derivative from contaminants. The criteria to be met by the solvent are:

to be met by the solvent are:


separation of the desired derivative from contaminants. The criteria for a solvent was a difficult task for it figures critically in the must be added to the carrier before proceeding with the analysis. The piperidine derivative since the extract of the analysis mixture

a General: It was necessary to find a suitable solvent for the

### 3. Determination of Solvent for Derivative:

in n-butanol, and dried at 80°C for 5 minutes. A purple color resulted for 1 hour at 110°C, sprayed with a solution of ninhydrin (0.1% dissolved in n-butanol), and dried at 80°C for 5 minutes. A purple color resulted

\*\* A drop of supernatant was placed on No. 3 Whatman filter paper, dried



Pos.	Ref.	Ref.	Ref.
189	189-179	0.88	0.875
189	189-179	0.88	0.875



- (1) Dissolve pipsyl-histamine derivative readily.
- (2) Immiscible with water or ethyl ether since the pipsyl amino acid derivatives, which would usually be present in an analysis, are soluble in these media.
- (3) Insoluble to p-iodophenyl sulfonic acid resulting from hydrolysis of excess pipsyl-chloride used in the reaction.
- (4) Not react with pipsyl-chloride to form a contaminating product. (This eliminates the alcohols).
- (5) Permit ready recovery of the pipsyl-histamine carrier after separation has been performed.

While criteria (2) through (5) are not absolutely essential, they greatly simplify and facilitate the recovery of the pipsyl-histamine derivative in pure form.

b. Solvents: Precise quantitative measurements of the solubility of the pipsyl-histamine derivative in the various solvents were not made; only qualitative answers were sought. The solubilities, stated qualitatively, are as follows:

- (1) Insoluble in hot and cold water; dilute and concentrated  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$ ,  $\text{NaOH}$ ,  $\text{HCl}$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ ; benzene; ethyl ether; petroleum ether; carbon tetrachloride; butyl acetate; n-butyl ether; and ethyl butyl acetate.
- (2) Slightly soluble in cold: acetone, chloroform and toluene.
- (3) Moderately soluble in hot: acetone, chloroform and toluene.

(1) Insoluble in water; soluble in organic solvents.

(2) Insoluble in water or ethyl ether since the piperidine

amine acid derivative, which would usually be present

in an analysis, are soluble in these media.

(3) Insoluble to p-tolophenyl sulfonic acid resulting from

hydrolysis of excess piperidine-chloride used in the reaction.

(4) Not react with piperidine-chloride to form a contaminating

product. (This eliminates the alcohols).

(5) Permit ready recovery of the piperidine-histamine carrier

after separation has been performed.

While criteria (3) through (5) are not absolutely essential, they

greatly simplify and facilitate the recovery of the piperidine-histamine de-

riivative in pure form.

b. Solvents: Precise quantitative measurements of the solubility

of the piperidine-histamine derivative in the various solvents were not made;

only qualitative answers were sought. The solubilities, stated qualita-

tively, are as follows:

(1) Insoluble in hot and cold water; dilute and concentrated

$\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$ ,  $\text{NaOH}$ ,  $\text{HCl}$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ ; benzene; ethyl ether; petro-

leum ether; carbon tetrachloride; butyl acetate; n-butyl

ether; and ethyl butyl acetate.

(2) Slightly soluble in cold; acetone, chloroform and toluene.

(3) Moderately soluble in hot; acetone, chloroform and toluene.

No really good solvent, of those tested, was found. Acetone was the best of the three moderately good solvents, however, the fact that it is miscible with water makes it undesirable. Chloroform and toluene were equally good solvents, dissolving 1 g. of pipsyl-histamine derivative per 1000 g. solvent at 20° C. Both chloroform and toluene meet the criteria established in all but one respect, i. e., they both dissolve p-iodophenyl sulfonic acid to an appreciable extent. This may not necessarily make them unsuitable as solvents. However, when radioactive pipsyl-chloride was hydrolyzed in  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  solution, acidified, extracted with chloroform, and counted; the aqueous fraction was slightly less radioactive than the chloroform fraction, indicating a slight preference of the sulfonic acid for the aqueous layer.

3. Preparation of Pipsyl-Derivatives of Di-amino Acids: Since the available quantity of histamine was rather limited and the amount of histidine relatively abundant, it was considered expedient to gain experience preparing the pipsyl-chloride derivative using histidine rather than histamine (assuming that because of their structural similarity the two compounds would react alike to pipsyl-chloride). The results of this diversion from the main problem proved to be interesting. Pipsyl derivatives of histidine were prepared according to the procedure used by Keston, Udenfriend and Cannan (14) using either an excess of amino acid or pipsyl-chloride. It was always possible to obtain a precipitate upon acidification of the reaction mixture of histidine and pipsyl-chloride but

in a 100 ml. solvent, dissolving 1 g. of piperidine derivative per 100 ml. solvent at 50°C. Both chloroform and toluene meet the criteria established in all but one respect, i.e., they both dissolve p-tolodiphenyl sulfonic acid to an appreciable extent. This may not necessarily make them unsuitable as solvents. However, when radioactive piperidine-chloride was hydrolyzed in  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  solution, acidified, extracted with chloroform, and counted; the aqueous fraction was slightly less radioactive than the chloroform fraction, indicating a slight preference of the sulfonic acid for the aqueous layer.

### 3. Preparation of Piperidine-Derivatives of Histamine Acids: Since

the available quantity of histamine was rather limited and the amount of histidine relatively abundant, it was considered expedient to gain experience preparing the piperidine derivative using histidine rather than histamine (assuming that because of their structural similarity the two compounds would react alike to piperidine-chloride). The results of this diversion from the main problem proved to be interesting. Piperidine derivatives of histidine were prepared according to the procedure used by Keaton, Hunsicker and Gorman (16) using either an excess of acetic acid or piperidine-chloride. It was always possible to obtain a precipitate upon acidification of the reaction mixture of histidine and piperidine-chloride but

the precipitate could not be recovered. The precipitate would disappear if attempts were made to recover it immediately, either by filtration or centrifugation at  $-5^{\circ}\text{C}$ , or reduce itself to a small tan sticky mass if permitted to stand several hours at  $0^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Acidification with various acids; dilute and concentrated  $\text{HCl}$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ , and  $\text{HC}_2\text{H}_3\text{O}_2$ ; produced similar results. Precipitates would not appear unless the pH was reduced to a range 5.2 - 5.6; acidification beyond this range did not assist in the recovery. The pipsyl-chloride derivative of arginine behaved in a similar manner. It is to be noted that both histidine and arginine are di-amino acids. Accordingly, it appears as if pipsyl-chloride can be used successfully only with mono-amino acids.

#### **VI. SEPARATION OF HISTAMINE FROM BASIC AMINO ACIDS BY PAPER CHROMATOGRAPHY:**

1. Procedure: Histamine was separated from a solution of histamine, histidine and arginine on a paper chromatogram. The  $R_f$  factor for histamine was found to be 0.41; the  $R_f$  factor for both histidine and arginine, approximately zero. The experimental procedure used to effect the separation is described below:

a. Use a strip of Eaton-Dikeman filter paper, 1.5 cm. wide by 40-45 cm. long, for the chromatogram and a 500 ml. glass-stoppered graduate for the chamber.

b. Place a drop of solution containing about 5 micrograms of amino acid or histamine, 5 cm. from one end of the paper; designate this position as the zero-point. Weight the end of the paper on which

chloride can be used successfully only with mono-amino acids. Arginine and di-amino acids. Accordingly, it appears as if piperidine behaved in a similar manner. It is to be noted that both piperidine and arginine are di-amino acids. The piperidine-chloride derivative of arginine reduced to a range 5.5 - 6.0; acidification beyond this range did not alter results. Precipitates would not appear unless the pH was acidic; dilute and concentrated  $HCl$ ,  $H_2SO_4$ , and  $HClO_4$  produced

VI. SEPARATION OF INSTANTANEOUS FROM BASIC AMINO ACIDS BY  
PAPER CHROMATOGRAPHY:

effect the separation is described below:

by 40-45 cm. long, for the chromatogram and a 500 ml. glass-stoppered graduate for the chamber.

b. Place a drop of solution containing about 5 micrograms of  
arsenic acid or histamine, 5 cm. from one end of the paper; designate  
this position as the zero-point. Weigh the end of the paper on which

solution has been placed with a small lead weight.

c. Place paper, weighted end down, into chamber so that the lead weight just touches bottom. The bottom of the chamber contains 25 ml. of isopentanol saturated with 2N ammonium hydroxide. Secure other end of paper with glass stopper, being careful to keep paper vertical and away from side of graduate.

d. Develop for 10 hours at 23° C.

e. Remove paper from chamber and mark solvent front.

f. Dry paper in oven at 110° C.

g. Spray paper with ninhydrin (0.1% solution in n-butanol).

h. Air dry paper.

i. Heat paper at 80°C for 5 minutes to produce color.

j. Determine  $R_f$  factor by comparing the distance the center of each spot has moved from the zero-point to the distance the solvent front has moved from the zero-point.

When a mixture of components are to be separated, a drop of solution containing about 5 micrograms of each of the components is added to the paper strip and the procedure carried out as described above.

2. Determination of  $R_f$  Factors: The chromatographic separation produced the following results:

with a small lead weight

a paper, weighed and down into chamber so that it

lead weight just touches bottom. The bottom of the chamber contains

25 ml. of reagent-grade anhydrous 3M ammonium hydroxide. Secure

other end of paper with glass stopper, being careful to keep paper vertical

and away from side of graduate

d. Develop for 15 hours at 22° C

e. Remove paper from chamber and mark solvent front.

f. Dry paper in oven at 110° C.

g. Spray paper with ninhydrin (0.1% solution in n-butanol).

h. Air dry paper.

i. Heat paper at 80° C for 5 minutes to produce color.

j. Determine  $R_f$  factor by comparing the distance the center

of each spot has moved from the zero-point to the distance the solvent

front has moved from the zero-point.

When a mixture of components are to be separated, a drop of

solution containing about 5 micrograms of each of the components is

added to the paper strip and the procedure carried out as described

above.

3. Determination of  $R_f$  Factors: The chromatographic separa-

tion produced the following results:



Added to Column	Distance of Solvent front from zero-point (cm.)	Distance of Center of colored spots from zero- point (cm.)	$R_f$ Factor	Color of Spots Developed with Ninhydrin
Histamine	17.7	8.0	0.45	purple
Histamine	16.5	6.7	0.41	purple
Histidine	17.4	0	0	purple
Arginine	17.7	0	0	purple
Mixture of *				
histamine,		0	0	purple
histidine and arginine	17.9	7.5	0.41	purple

\* Two purple spots appeared. The spot with  $R_f = 0$  was due to histidine and arginine; the spot with  $R_f = 0.41$  was due to histamine.

Color of spots developed with ninhydrin	Factor	Distance of center of colored spots from zero-point (cm.)	Distance of center of colored spots from zero-point (cm.)	Added to solvent front from zero-point (cm.)	Colorant
purple	0.45	8.9	15.7	Histamine	
purple	0.41	8.7	16.3	Histamine	
purple	0	0	17.4	Histidine	
purple	0	0	17.7	Arginine	
purple	0	0		Mixture of * histamine, histidine and arginine	
purple	0.41	7.5	17.8		

\* Two purple spots appeared. The spot with  $R_f = 0$  was due to histidine and arginine; the spot with  $R_f = 0.41$  was due to histamine.

VII. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE FOR ANALYSIS OF SAMPLE CONTAINING HISTAMINE: (Reference is Flow Sheet No. 3 for diagram of procedure.)

1. Pipet known amount of histamine solution (less than 0.6 ml.) where "U" is to be determined or unknown solution (less than 0.6 ml.) where "K" is to be determined into a Folin sugar tube.

2. Add 0.015 g.  $\text{NaHCO}_3$ .

3. Pipet 1 ml. of ether solution of radioactive pipsyl-chloride containing 0.010 g., tagged with about 0.02 millicurie S-35 into a Folin tube.

4. Place Folin tube in 50°C water bath to evaporate ether. Pipsyl-chloride sinks to bottom. Add distilled water to make volume to 0.6 ml..

5. Raise temperature of water bath to boiling and agitate Folin tube to emulsify pipsyl-chloride. A hand electric sander was used for agitation. Agitate for 5 minutes.

6. Permit tube to remain in water bath for 10 minutes and then cool.

7. Add 0.2 ml. N HCl and agitate.

8. Add 2ml. chloroform and agitate.

9. Transfer aqueous layer to small test tube, add 2 ml. chloroform, agitate and transfer aqueous layer to a small test tube. Repeat same process once more.

10. Transfer chloroform fractions from the 3 tubes to a separatory funnel containing a known weight, approximately 0.1 g., of non-radio-

1. Pipet known amount of potassium solution (less than 0.8 ml.)
- where it is to be determined in unknown solution (less than 0.8 ml.)
- where it is to be determined into a Wollin sugar tube.
2. Add 0.015 g. NaNO<sub>2</sub>.
3. Pipet 1 ml. of ether solution of radioactive pipetyl-chloride containing 0.010 g., tagged with about 0.02 milllicurie 2-32 into a Wollin tube.
4. Place Wollin tube in 20°C water bath to evaporate ether. Pipetyl-chloride sticks to bottom. Add distilled water to make volume to 0.8 ml.
5. Raise temperature of water bath to boiling and agitate Wollin tube to emulsify pipetyl-chloride. A hand electric sander was used for agitation. Agitate for 5 minutes.
6. Permit tube to remain in water bath for 10 minutes and then cool.
7. Add 0.2 ml. 1N HCl and agitate.
8. Add 3 ml. chloroform and agitate.
9. Transfer aqueous layer to small test tube, add 3 ml. chloroform, agitate and transfer aqueous layer to a small test tube. Repeat same process once more.
10. Transfer chloroform fractions from the 3 tubes to a separator funnel containing a known weight, approximately 0.1 g., of non-radioactive

active pipsyl-histamine derivative dissolved in chloroform. Wash tubes with 1 ml. chloroform and transfer to a separatory funnel.

11. Wash chloroform layer 3 times with  $N HCl$ ; agitate for 5 minutes and permit to settle for 15 minutes before separation. Use 20 ml. of acid for each wash.

12. Determine activity of 0.5 ml. aliquot of acid wash to find effectiveness of washing process. \*

13. Wash chloroform layer with 0.2 N  $NH_4 OH$ ; agitate for 5 minutes and permit to settle for 15 minutes before separation. Use 20 ml. of base for each wash. Continue to wash until constant specific activity is reached.

14. Determine activity of 0.5 ml. aliquot of each base wash to find effectiveness of washing process. \*

15. Determine specific activity of chloroform layer after each  $NH_4 OH$  wash by evaporating 5 ml. aliquot to dryness in aluminum planchet at  $55^{\circ} C$ , followed by weighing and counting. \*

16. After constant specific activity has been reached, evaporate remainder of chloroform fraction to dryness in aluminum planchet at  $55^{\circ} C$ , weigh, count and determine "U" or "K". \*

\*All counting was performed in flow chamber, gas mixture of 99% helium and 0.95% isobutane, using Model SC1 Auto-scaler, Tracerlab.

with 1 ml. of concentrated and transfer to a separatory funnel.

11. Wash chloroform layer 2 times with 10%  $\text{NaOH}$ , agitate for 5 minutes and permit to settle for 15 minutes before separation. Use 20 ml. of acid for each wash.

12. Determine activity of 0.5 ml. aliquot of acid wash to find effectiveness of washing process. \*

13. Wash chloroform layer with 0.2 N  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$ ; agitate for 5 minutes and permit to settle for 15 minutes before separation. Use 20 ml. of base for each wash. Continue to wash until constant specific activity is reached.

14. Determine activity of 0.5 ml. aliquot of each base wash to find effectiveness of washing process. \*

15. Determine specific activity of chloroform layer after each  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  wash by evaporating 5 ml. aliquot to dryness in aluminum planchet at 55°C, followed by weighing and counting. \*

16. After constant specific activity has been reached, evaporate remainder of chloroform fraction to dryness in aluminum planchet at 55°C, weigh, count and determine "U" or "K". \*

\*All counting was performed in flow chamber, gas mixture of 99% helium and 0.25% isobutane, using Model SCI Auto-Scaler, Tracerlab.



series

-aim

.lar 0:

-to 1

-aim

.lar 0:

ylivlt

to

de

-uall

etst

ts 1

to 0:

-



# VIII. DATA

## 1. Preparation of Reference Standard to Determine "U":

- Quantity of histamine used: 2.5 $\mu$ g.
- Quantity of carrier added: 0.104 g.
- Activity per 0.5 ml. aliquot of acid wash: (Background =

1.03 ct. per sec.. Counted 4096 gross counts.)

<u>Wash</u>	<u>Net Counts per Second</u>
1	910
2	55.5
3	8.0

- Activity per 0.5 ml. aliquot of NH<sub>4</sub>OH wash: (Background=

1.03 ct. per sec.. Counted 4096 gross counts.)

<u>Wash</u>	<u>Net Counts per Second</u>
1	103
2	1.8
3	1.72

- Activity per approximately 5 ml. aliquot of chloroform layer after each NH<sub>4</sub>OH wash: (Background = 1.03 ct. per sec., Counted 4096 gross counts.)

<u>Aliquot Taken After NH<sub>4</sub>OH Wash</u>	<u>Mg. Of Aliquot Counted</u>	<u>Net Counts Per Second</u>	<u>Net Counts Per Second Per Mg. of Aliquot</u>	<u>Net Counts Per Second Per <math>\mu</math>g. Histamine</u>	<u>"K" Counts Per Sec. Per Mole <math>\times 10^{10}</math></u>
1	8.5	35.2	4.15	172.8	1.92
2	7.5	22.3	2.98	124.0	1.38
3	7.7	22.3	2.90	120.8	1.34
*	15.4	61.1	3.96	164.5	1.83
Ave.					1.62

\*This sample represents result of addition of another 5 ml. chloroform solution to sample #3

U.S. Department of Agriculture

Technical Bulletin No. 100

Quantity of carrier added: 0.104 g

Activity per 0.5 ml. aliquot of acid wash: (Background =

1.03 ct. per sec. Counted 4000 gross counts.)

Wash	Net Counts per Second
1	310
2	55.2
3	8.0

4. Activity per 0.5 ml. aliquot of  $NH_4OH$  wash: (Background =

1.03 ct. per sec. Counted 4000 gross counts.)

Wash	Net Counts per Second
1	103
2	1.8
3	1.12

5. Activity per approximately 5 ml. aliquot of chloroform layer

after each  $NH_4OH$  wash: (Background = 1.03 ct. per sec. Counted 4000

gross counts.)

Wash	After $NH_4OH$	Aliquot	Mg. Cl	Net Counts	Per Second	Per Mg. of	Net Counts	Per Second	Per Sec.	Net Counts
Counted	Counted	Counted	Counted	Counted	Counted	Counted	Counted	Counted	Counted	Counted
1	12.4	21.1	3.98	184.5	1.82	1.82	172.8	1.93	1.93	1.93
2	7.7	23.7	2.90	198.8	1.82	1.82	124.0	1.38	1.38	1.38
3	7.5	23.2	2.92	198.8	1.82	1.82	172.8	1.93	1.93	1.93
4	3.2	22.2	4.15	172.8	1.93	1.93	172.8	1.93	1.93	1.93

Ave. 1.82

\*This sample represents result of addition of another 5 ml. chloroform solution to sample 22

f. Activity of remainder of chloroform fraction which was evaporated to dryness: (Background = 1.15. Counted 4096 gross counts).

<u>Sample Number</u>	<u>Mg. of Sample Counted</u>	<u>Net Counts Per Second</u>	<u>Net Counts Per Second Per Mg. Of Sample</u>	<u>Net Counts per Second per <math>\mu</math>g. Histamine</u>
1*	33.3	62.6	1.88	78.3
2**	33.3	97.2	2.92	121.6
3***	33.2	90.5	2.72	108.8

\* Sample #1 had most of evaporated material on vertical wall of planchet; almost nothing in bottom.

\*\* Sample #2 is sample #1 which has had the material removed from its sides and spread as uniformly as possible across bottom by dissolving in acetone and evaporating to dryness at room temperature. Sample was finally heated at 60° C for 15 minutes to complete drying operation.

\*\*\* Sample #3 is sample #2 which has been treated again with acetone and dried in an attempt to produce a more uniform distribution of evaporated material.

... ..

... ..

Sample Number	Net Counts Per Second	Net Counts Per Second Per Mg. Of Sample	Net Counts Per Second Per Mg. Histamine
1*	33.3	1.88	78.3
2**	33.3	2.92	121.6
3***	33.3	2.72	108.8

\* Sample #1 had most of evaporated material on vertical wall of plan-  
chet; almost nothing in bottom.

\*\* Sample #2 is sample #1 which has had the material removed from the  
sides and spread as uniformly as possible across bottom by dissolving  
in acetone and evaporating to dryness at room temperature. Sample  
was finally heated at 80° C for 15 minutes to complete drying opera-  
tion.

\*\*\* Sample #3 is sample #2 which has been treated again with acetone  
and dried in an attempt to produce a more uniform distribution of eva-  
porated material.

## 2. Determination of Histamine Content of Unknown Sample:

Histamine alone was included in the sample and was taken from the same solution of histamine used to prepare reference standard.

a. Quantity of histamine in sample: Unknown initially to me but later reported to be  $0.75 \mu\text{g.}$

b. Quantity of carrier added:  $0.1013 \text{ g.}$

c. Activity per  $0.5 \text{ ml.}$  aliquot of acid wash. (Background =  $1.15 \text{ ct. per sec.}$ .. Counted 4096 gross counts.)

<u>Wash</u>	<u>Net Counts Per Second</u>
1	188
2	10
3	0.8

d. Activity per  $0.5 \text{ ml.}$  aliquot of  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  wash: (Background =  $1.14 \text{ ct. per sec.}$ .. Counted 512 gross counts.)

<u>Wash</u>	<u>Net Counts Per Second</u>
1	----
2	0.36
3	0.48

e. Activity per approximately  $5 \text{ ml.}$  aliquot of chloroform layer after each  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  wash. (Background =  $1.14 \text{ ct. per sec.}$ .. Counted 4096 gross counts.)

<u>Aliquot Taken After <math>\text{NH}_4\text{OH}</math> Wash</u>	<u>Mg. Of Aliquot Counted</u>	<u>Net Counts Per Second</u>	<u>Net Counts Per Second Per Mg. of Aliquot</u>	<u>Net Counts Per Second Per Unknown Sample</u>	<u>"U" Counts Per Second Per Mole <math>\times 10^7</math></u>	<u>"w" in* <math>\mu\text{g.}</math> Histamine</u>
1	8.0	27.3	3.42	347	3.84	2.37
2	7.4	20.4	2.76	280	3.10	1.91
3	8.3	30.6	3.69	374	4.15	2.56
3	8.4	28.5	3.40	345	3.82	2.36

1. The name of the person who was taken from the name

and of various known : signs in order to be able to

...y ed of foreign: total ted

101.0 :bubba 707700 10 vltmup d

2. Activity per 0.5 ml. aliquot of acid wash. (Backround =

11/25 of per sec. (Computed 4888 from counts)

Wash	Net Counts Per Second
1	188
2	10
3	0.8

4. Activity per 0.5 ml. aliquot of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  was: (Background =

1.442 213 gross count (1.442 213 gross count)

Wash	Net Counts Per Second
1	0.28
2	0.28

6. A tablet per approximately 2 ml. aliquot of chloroform.

layer after each  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  wash. (Background = 1.14 cts. per sec.). Counted

4006 STONE COTTAGE

[illegible]

\* These calculated quantities are based on an average  $K = 1.62 \times 10^{10}$ .

f. Activity of remainder of chloroform fraction which was evaporated to dryness. (Background = 1.15. Counted 4096 gross counts.)

<u>Sample Number</u>	<u>Mg. of Sample Counted</u>	<u>Net Counts Per Second</u>	<u>Net Counts Per Second Per Mg. of Sample</u>
*1	41.0	93.5	2.28
**2	40.5	89.4	2.21
***3	40.4	89.9	2.22

\*Sample #1 had a concentration of evaporated material on vertical wall of planchet, however, some material was spread uniformly across bottom.

\*\*Sample #2 is sample #1 which has had the material removed from its sides and spread as uniformly as possible across bottom by dissolving in acetone and evaporating to dryness at room temperature. Sample was finally heated at 60°C. for 15 minutes to complete drying operation.

\*\*\*Sample #3 is sample #2 which had been treated again with acetone and dried in an attempt to produce a more uniform distribution of evaporated material.

over the surface of the material. The material was then placed in a desiccator over phosphorus pentoxide for 24 hours. The material was then placed in a desiccator over phosphorus pentoxide for 24 hours. The material was then placed in a desiccator over phosphorus pentoxide for 24 hours.

Sample Number	Wt. of Sample (Gm.)	Net Count Per Second	Wt. of Sample (Gm.)	Net Count Per Second
1	41.8	83.8	2	83.8
2	40.8	83.8	3	83.8
3	40.4	83.8	4	83.8

Sample 1 had a concentration of evaporated material on vertical wall of beaker, however, some material was spread uniformly across bottom. Sample 2 is sample 1 which has had the material removed from its sides and spread as uniformly as possible across bottom by dissolving in acetone and evaporating to dryness at room temperature. Sample 3 was finally heated at 60°C. for 15 minutes to complete drying operation. Sample 4 is sample 3 which had been treated again with acetone and dried in an attempt to produce a more uniform distribution of evaporated material.



## IX. DISCUSSION OF DATA:

The histamine values, ranging from 1.91-2.56  $\mu$ g., obtained by the isotope derivative method for the unknown sample are between 200-400% in excess of the correct value, 0.75  $\mu$ g.. Even though the error is great, the result is better than should have been expected because the actual total activity of the histamine reference standard after the final  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  wash is higher than the theoretical total activity that should have been present even if the initially formed pipsyl-histamine derivative was carried through the entire operation without loss and self-absorption was absent. The basis for the above conclusion is as follows: the activity of the pipsyl-chloride added was approximately 7 counts per second per  $\mu$ g. and, since 5.45  $\mu$ g. of pipsyl-chloride should combine with 1  $\mu$ g. histamine, the maximum total theoretical activity should have been approximately 95 counts per second ( $5.45 \times 7 \times 2.5$ ); the actual total count was of the order of 300 counts per second. This indicates that some contaminant is being carried along in the chloroform fraction and is not removed by the acid and ammonium hydroxide wash. The contaminant may be pipsyl-chloride itself because it is not too easily hydrolyzed and is readily dissolved by the same solvents which dissolve the pipsyl-chloride derivative.

It is quite evident from the data that self-absorption of the soft beta-particle by the sample makes all activity readings uncertain. One is uncertain as to whether a constant molal activity is a false one due to a change in counting geometry or a true one indicating the

obtained in the range of 0.1 to 0.2 g. of sample. The results for the unknown sample are between 200-300 counts per second of the correct value, 0.15 g. Even though the error is great, the result is better than should have been expected because the actual total activity of the histamine reference standard after the final  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  wash is higher than the theoretical total activity that should have been present even if the initially formed pipazi-histamine derivative was carried through the entire operation without loss and self-absorption was absent. The basis for the above conclusion is as follows: the activity of the pipazi-chloride added was approximately 7 counts per second per  $\mu\text{g.}$  and, since 5.45  $\mu\text{g.}$  of pipazi-chloride should combine with 1  $\mu\text{g.}$  histamine, the maximum total theoretical activity should have been approximately 35 counts per second ( $5.45 \times 7 \times 2.5$ ); the actual total count was of the order of 200 counts per second. This indicates that some contaminant is being carried along in the chloroform fraction and is not removed by the acid and ammonium hydroxide wash. The contaminant may be pipazi-chloride itself because it is not too easily hydrolyzed and is readily dissolved by the same solvents which dissolve the pipazi-chloride derivative.

It is quite evident from the data that self-absorption of the self-beta-particle by the sample makes all activity readings uncertain. One is uncertain as to whether a constant molar activity is a false one due to a change in counting geometry or a true one indicating the

absence of contaminants; whether the observed activity is directly proportional to the quantity of material present or is less by the amount being self-absorbed. The data indicate that even when the amount of solid material in the planchet was increased from 7.7 mg. to 15.4 mg., the counting rate per mg. increased from 2.19 to 3.96, a 36% change, due to a change in the counting geometry. The data also show that when 33.3 mg. of material are re-distributed more uniformly over the counting surface, the counting rate per mg. increased from 1.88 to 2.82, a 54% increase. The magnitude of the error introduced by self-absorption has been illustrated by a study of the measured activity of S-35 in barium sulfate of constant specific activity (22):

<u>Mg. per sq. cm. BaSO<sub>4</sub></u>	<u>Activity</u>
2.5	900
5.0	1600
7.5	2200
10.0	2500
15.0	2800
20.0	3000
40.0	3100

The data above reveal that even with a surface density as low as 5 mg. per sq. cm. the activity ceases to be linear. In the experiment performed, the surface density of the evaporated pipsyl-histamine derivative, based upon the total area of the planchet, was always less than 5 mg. per sq. cm.. However, the evaporated material could not be spread uniformly over the bottom, the sample showing a tendency to concentrate in small clumps during the evaporation process.

...the activity of the material present or its loss by the amount of ...  
 ...The data indicate that even when the amount of ...  
 ...solid material in the planchet was increased from 5.7 mg. to 15.4 mg., ...  
 ...the counting rate per mg. increased from 2.18 to 2.86, a 32% change, ...  
 ...due to a change in the counting geometry. The data also show that when ...  
 ...32.3 mg. of material are re-distributed more uniformly over the count- ...  
 ...ing surface, the counting rate per mg. increased from 1.38 to 2.23, a ...  
 ...54% increase. The magnitude of the error introduced by self-absorption ...  
 ...has been illustrated by a study of the measured activity of 2-35 in part- ...  
 ...was sulfate of constant specific activity (23):

Activity	Mg. per sq. cm. BaSO <sub>4</sub>
800	2.8
1600	2.0
2400	1.8
3200	1.6
4000	1.5
4800	1.4
5600	1.3
6400	1.2

The data above reveal that even with a surface density as low as 2 mg. per sq. cm. the activity ceases to be linear. In the experiment per-  
 formed, the surface density of the evaporated pipal-diamine deriva-  
 tive, based upon the total area of the planchet, was always less than 2  
 mg. per sq. cm. However, the evaporated material could not be spread  
 uniformly over the bottom, the sample showing a tendency to concen-  
 trate in small clumps during the evaporation process.

## **X. CONCLUSIONS:**

1. Pipsyl-chloride, tagged with radioactive S-35, can be successfully prepared from radioactive sulfanilic acid at the 0.0005 mole level. Forty-four percent of the initial activity of the sulfanilic acid was contained in the final product. There is reasonable evidence to believe that one equivalent of histamine and two equivalents of pipsyl-chloride react to form a pipsyl-histamine derivative which is insoluble in water, acid and base but is sparingly soluble in chloroform, acetone, and toluene. Labelled with a suitable radioactive isotope and employed with a suitable solvent, the pipsyl-histamine derivative holds promise for use as a tool to determine histamine quantitatively by the isotope derivative method employing the carrier technic.

2. Chloroform is not a suitable solvent for extraction of the reaction mixture because it dissolves radioactive contaminants as well as the pipsyl-histamine derivative.

3. In employing the isotope derivative method for quantitative analysis using the added carrier technic, it is highly desirable to be able to recover the component sought from the solvent by a method other than evaporation. The separation will provide a possible means of freeing the desired component from contaminants.

4. When the isotope derivative method with carrier technic is employed in quantitative determinations, S-35 is not a suitable tag, due to self-absorption of the soft beta-emission, unless the method is modified according to a technic patterned after that of Henriques et al (23)

method employing the carrier technique. as a tool to determine thiamine quantitatively by the isotope derivative suitable solvent, the ethyl-thiamine derivative holds promise for use labeled with a suitable radioactive isotope and employed with a and base but is sparingly soluble in chloroform, acetone, and toluene. to form a pig ethyl-thiamine derivative which is insoluble in water, acid one equivalent of thiamine and two equivalents of ethyl-chloride react thiamine. The isotope's advantage is to allow the carrier to be added. There is an advantage to the carrier technique. The carrier was not

2. Chloroform is not a suitable solvent for extraction of the reaction mixture because it dissolves radioactive contaminants as well as the alpha-nitroamine derivative.

3. In applying the isotopic derivative method for quantitative analysis using the added carrier technique, it is highly desirable to be able to recover the component sought from the solvent by a method other than evaporation. The separation will provide a possible means of freeing the desired component from contaminants.

4. When the adaptive derivative method with carrier technique is employed in polynomial determination, it is not a universal lag, due to self-absorption of the self data-estimation, which has resulted in such a situation as a carrier technique after that of the method of self-estimation.

to give reliable results. In Henriques' method the sulfur is converted to the sulfate, precipitated as the benzidine sulfate, dried, weighed and counted. In order to arrive at the true activity of the sample counted, a correction factor based upon the sample surface density is applied to the observed activity.

5. S-35 could be used to advantage as a tag in the isotope derivative method without carrier being added in which the derivative sought is recovered by paper chromatography. It was discovered that when a mixture of histamine, histidine and arginine in solution were placed on a paper chromatogram and developed with isopentanol saturated with 2N ammonium hydroxide for a period of 10 hours, the  $R_f$  factor for histamine was 0.41 and zero for histidine and arginine. Since the distance separating histamine from the basic amino acids was relatively great, it is possible that this method could be used to separate the pipsyl-derivatives of these compounds from each other even though the compounds are made more similar by the addition of the pipsyl-group.

6. Pipsyl-chloride appears to be specific for mono-amino acids, the di-amino derivatives being non-recoverable by the methods used here.

7. S-35 is an ideal radioactive isotope to work with due to its relatively long half-life, 87.3 days, and its weak beta-emission which is shielded out by the laboratory glassware.



applied to the test material.

6. Salt could be used to separate as a tag in the isotope derivative method without further action in which the derivative sought is recovered by paper chromatography. It was discovered that when a mixture of histamine, histidine and arginine in solution were placed on a paper chromatogram and developed with isopentanol saturated with 2M ammonium hydroxide for a period of 16 hours, the R<sub>f</sub> factor for histamine was 0.41 and zero for histidine and arginine. Since the distance separating histamine from the basic amino acids was relatively great, it is possible that this method could be used to separate the pipsyl-derivatives of these compounds from each other even though the compounds are made more similar by the addition of the pipsyl-group.

8. Pipsyl-histidine appears to be specific for amino-acid salts, the di-amino derivatives being non-recoverable by the methods used here. 7. 2-35 is an ideal radioactive isotope to work with due to its relatively long half-life, 87.3 days, and its weak beta-emission which is shielded and by the laboratory equipment.



## XI. BIBLIOGRAPHY:

1. Alexander, H. L.. Synopsis of Allergy. The C. V. Mosby Co., St. Louis, 1947.
2. Behrens, C. F. (editor). Atomic Medicine. Thomas Nelson and Sons, 1949.
3. Rose, B.. Role of Histamine in Anaphylaxis and Allergy. Am. J. Med. 3, 545 - 559 (1947).
4. Hanke, M. T. and Koessler K. K.. A Method for the Quantitative Colorimetric Estimation of Histamine in Protein and Protein Containing Matter. J. Biol. Chem. 43, 543 - 556 (1920).
5. Baraud J., Genevois L., Mandielon G., and Ringenbach G.. Dosage de l'Histamine dans le Sang au Cours de Diverses Intoxications. Compt. rend. acad. Sci. 222, 760 - 761 (1946).
6. Rosenthal, S. M. and Tabor, H.. An Improved Colorimetric Method for the Estimation of Histamine. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Therap. 92, 425 - 431 (1948).
7. McIntire F. C., Roth L. W., and Shaw J. L.. Purification of Histamine for Bioassay. J. Biol. Chem. 170, 537 - 544 (1947).
8. McIntire F. C., Sproull M., and White F. B.. A New Chemical Method for the Detection of Histamine in Biological Materials. Fed. Proc. 8, 102 (1949).
9. Lubschez, R.. Chemical Determination of Histamine in Human

1. H. P. Morgan, Jr., and J. V. Mosby Co., St. Louis, Mo., 1947.

2. H. P. Morgan, Jr., (Editor), Atomic Medicine, Thomas Nelson

and Son, 1948.

3. Rose, H., Role of Histamine in Anaphylaxis and Allergy, Am.

J. Med. 2, 545 - 558 (1947).

4. Hanne, M. T., and Kossler E. K., A Method for the Quantita-

tive Colorimetric Estimation of Histamine in Protein and Pro-

tein Containing Matter, J. Biol. Chem. 43, 543 - 558 (1920).

5. Baraud J., Genevois L., Mandelion G., and Ringenbach G.,

Dosage de l'Histamine dans le Sang au Cours de Diverses In-

toxications, Compt. rend. acad. Sci. 225, 760 - 761 (1948).

6. Rosenthal, S. M., and Tabor, H., An Improved Colorimetric

Method for the Estimation of Histamine, J. Pharmacol. Exp.

Therap. 62, 428 - 431 (1948).

7. McIntire F. C., Roth L. W., and Shaw J. L., Purification

of Histamine for Bioassay, J. Biol. Chem. 170, 537 - 544

(1947).

8. McIntire F. C., Sprout M., and White F. B., A New Chemical

Method for the Detection of Histamine in Biological Materials.

Fed. Proc. 2, 103 (1943).

9. Lubacher, H., Chemical Determination of Histamine in Human

- Blood. J. Biol. Chem. 183, 731 - 738 (1950).
10. Urbach, K. F. and Giscalfre, L., Identification of Histamine in Blood by Paper Chromatography. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. and Med. 68, 430 - 431 (1948).
  11. McIntire F. C., Roth L. W., and Shaw J. L.. The in vitro Release of Histamine from the Blood Cells of Sensitized Rabbits. Am. J. Physiol. 159, 332 - 336 (1949).
  12. Code, C. F.. Histamine in Blood. Physiol. Rev. 32, 47 - 66 (1952).
  13. Gaddum, J. H.. Histamine. Brit. Med. J. 1, 867 -873 (1948).
  14. Keston A. S., Udenfriend S., and Cannan R. K. . A Method for Determination of Organic Acids in Form of Isotopic Derivatives. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 71, 249 - 257 (1949).
  15. Adams R., Adkins H., Blatt A. H., Cope A. C., Mc Grew F. C., Nieman C., and Snyder H. R. (editors). Organic Reactions Vol. 2. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1944.
  16. Shoher, W. B.. On the Decomposition of Diazo Compounds. Am. Chem, J. 15, 379 -391 (1893).
  17. Weygand, C.. Organic Preparations. Interscience Publishing Co., Inc., New York, 1945.
  18. Gilman, H. and Blatt A. H.. Organic Synthesis. Vol. I. Second Edition. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1941.
  19. Lenz, W.. Ber. 10, 1135-1137 (1877).

11. Release of Histamine from the Blood Cells of Sensitized Rabbits. *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* 135, 383 - 396 (1949).
12. Code, C. F.. Histamine in Blood. *Physiol. Rev.* 32, 47 - 66 (1952).
13. Gaddum, J. H.. Histamine. *Brit. Med. J.* 1, 287 - 273 (1946).
14. Koster A. S., Udenfriend S., and Cannon R. K.. A Method for Determination of Organic Acids in Form of Isotopic Derivatives. *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* 71, 249 - 257 (1949).
15. Adams R., Adams H., Blatt A. M., Cope A. C., and Grew P. C., Newman C., and Snyder R. R. (editors). *Organic Reactions* Vol. 3. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1944.
16. Zador, W. E.. On the Decomposition of Diazo Compounds. *Am. Chem. J.* 10, 319 - 321 (1923).
17. Weygand, C.. *Organic Preparations*. Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, 1945.
18. Gilman, H. and Blatt A. M.. *Organic Synthesis*. Vol. 1. Second Edition. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1941.
19. Leach, W. B.. *Rev. 10*, 1153-1157 (1947).

20. Stein, W. H. and Moore, S.. The Use of Specific Precipitants in the Amino Acid Analysis of Proteins. Ann.N. Y. Acad. Sc. 47, 95 - 119 (1947).
21. Doherty D. G., Stein W. H., and Bergman M.. Aromatic Sulfonic Acids as Reagents for Amino Acids. J. Biol. Chem. 135, 487 - 496 (1940).
22. Graf W. L., Comar C. L., and Whitney I. B.. Relative Sensitivities of Windowless and End-Window Counters. Nucleonics 9 No. 4, 22 - 27 (1951).
23. Henriques F. C. Jr., Kistiakowsky G. B., Margnetti C., and Schneider W. G.. Analytical Procedure for Measurement of Long-Lived Radioactive Sulfur, S-35, with a Lauritzen Electroscope and Comparison of Electroscope with Special Geiger Counter. Ind. Eng. Chem., Anal. Ed. 18 No. 6, 349 - 353 (1946).

1. *Journal of Polymer Science*

2. *Journal of Polymer Science*

3. *Journal of Polymer Science*

4. *Journal of Polymer Science*

5. *Journal of Polymer Science*

6. *Journal of Polymer Science*

7. *Journal of Polymer Science*

8. *Journal of Polymer Science*

9. *Journal of Polymer Science*

10. *Journal of Polymer Science*

11. *Journal of Polymer Science*

12. *Journal of Polymer Science*

13. *Journal of Polymer Science*

14. *Journal of Polymer Science*

15. *Journal of Polymer Science*

[illegible]

Thesis  
E55

17229

Entwhistle

Isotope derivative  
method for quantitative  
determination of hista-  
mine.

Thesis  
E55

17229

Entwhistle

Isotope derivative  
method for quantitative  
determination of histamine.

thesE55

Isotope derivative method for quantitati



3 2768 002 06191 3

DUDLEY KNOX LIBRARY